Second to fourth digit ratio and hand skill in Austrian children
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Abstract

Prenatal exposure to testosterone is thought to promote the development of the right-hemisphere and increase the incidence of sinistrality. A direct test of this hypothesis has previously been problematic because of the difficulty of indirectly assessing prenatal sex steroid exposure. Evidence now suggests that the ratio between the length of the second and fourth digits (2D:4D) is related to prenatal testosterone exposure. We tested whether digit ratio is related to the degree of hand skill such that low 2D:4D (indicating high levels of testosterone in utero) may be correlated with enhanced left-hand performance. In right-handed children, high 2D:4D correlated with improved right-hand skill and low 2D:4D correlated with enhanced left-hand skill. Correlations were found to be similar for girls and for boys. Since low 2D:4D has been previously reported to be associated with faster left-hand speed compared to right in Afro-Caribbean children with very low mean 2D:4D, the present finding in a Caucasian population with high mean 2D:4D suggests that a tendency of improved left-hand performance due to prenatal testosterone may be found across ethnic groups.
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1. Introduction

Individual differences in lateralization are well known, for example Annett (1985) noted that between 8–12% of the population are left-handed; moreover cognitive function also appears to be lateralized, with most right-handers processing language in the left hemisphere, and visuo-perceptual processes in the right (Bryden, 1982). Several theories have been proposed to account for individual differences in lateralization, all have in common the notion that lateralization occurs early in development in response to sex steroid exposure.

The ‘sexual differentiation’ theory (Hines and Shipley, 1984) argues that early exposure to testosterone causes masculinization of physiology, anatomy and behaviour (for review see Goy and McEwen, 1980). In support, males display higher rates of left-handedness (Perelle and Ehrman, 1994) and there appears to be a clear link between handedness and sexual orientation (Lalumière et al., 2000).

A second ‘callosal’ theory (Witelson and Nowakowski, 1991) posits that cerebral lateralization occurs via the testosterone-mediated pruning of callosal axons during early development. A clear prediction of this theory is that increased levels of prenatal testosterone would be associated with greater cerebral lateralization, and a stronger right-hand preference. In support, Grimshaw et al. (1995) assessed testosterone concentrations in amniotic fluid and laterlization of speech, emotion and handedness in children at age 10. They reported that girls exposed to higher prenatal testosterone were more strongly right-handed and had stronger left hemisphere speech representation.

The third ‘GBG’ hypothesis (Geschwind and Behan, 1982; Geschwind and Galaburda, 1987), proposes that in utero levels of testosterone influence cerebral development such that high levels of testosterone slows growth of certain regions of the left hemisphere, leading to right-hemisphere language dominance and increased left-handedness. Support for the theory remains equivocal with some authors confirming its predictions (see Tan, 1991b) while others report findings directly opposed to its predictions (see Elkadi et al., 1999; Gadea et al., 2003). Indeed, the clear predictions between left-handedness and auto-immune disorders, and developmental disorders are not convincingly supported (Bryden et al., 1994). The original theory has undergone several modifications. For example, Kelley (1993) proposed that testosterone inhibits ontogenetic cell death in the left hemisphere though with prolonged exposure both hemispheres are affected leading to anatomical asymmetry. While this modification suggests a different role for testosterone the predictions remain the same, namely that increased levels of this hormone are associated with an increase in left-handedness.

A key problem in assessing the theories is that evidence has typically come from studies of atypical populations. Where normal populations have been utilised, measures of prenatal testosterone exposure are limited to sampling amniotic fluid which may not reflect hormone exposure during early critical periods of development, and may simply reflect maternal levels (Reinisch and Sanders, 1984). Recent research suggests that an accurate window into prenatal steroid exposure may be provided by analysis of finger length patterns. There is accumulating evidence that the ratio between the length of the second digit (the index finger) and the length of the fourth digit (the ring finger) $(2D:4D)$ is sexually dimorphic and is largely determined prenatally (Manning, 2002). Males tend to show lower values of $2D:4D$
than do females, i.e., males have on average longer fourth digits relative to their second than females (Phelps, 1952; Manning et al., 1998). Relative finger lengths are determined before birth (Garn et al., 1975), the sex difference in 2D:4D is present in children as young as 2 years (Manning et al., 1998), and sex differences in 2D:4D are robust across a number of ethnic groups and races (Manning, 2002; Peters et al., 2002).

The sexual dimorphism in 2D:4D has been known for many years (e.g., see Baker, 1888). However, it has only recently been suggested that sex differences in 2D:4D arise from in utero concentrations of sex steroids, with 2D:4D negatively related to prenatal testosterone and positively associated with prenatal oestrogen (Manning et al., 1998). The evidence for these relationships with sex hormones is as follows: (i) some sexually dimorphic traits with an excess of males are associated with low 2D:4D ratios (autism and Aspergers syndrome (Manning et al., 2001); fast running speed (Manning and Taylor, 2001)). Other dimorphic traits with an excess of females are associated with high 2D:4D ratios (good verbal fluency and breast cancer (Manning, 2002)); (ii) mothers with a high waist-hip-ratio, (associated with high testosterone and low oestrogen), tend to have children with low 2D:4D ratios (Manning et al., 1999); (iii) children with congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH), a condition associated with high prenatal androgens, have lower 2D:4D ratios than controls (Brown et al., 2002; Okten et al., 2002); (iv) high sensitivity to testosterone, as measured by the structure of the testosterone receptor, is associated with low 2D:4D (Manning et al., 2003); (v) mothers with low 2D:4D tend to have children with low 2D:4D ratio and their children have high concentrations of testosterone relative to oestrogen in their amniotic fluid (Manning, 2002; Lutchmaya et al., 2004).

Manning et al. (2000a) have shown in a sample of Afro-Caribbean Jamaican children that low 2D:4D was associated with faster left-hand speed relative to right-hand speed in a peg moving test. In addition they found that participants with lower 2D:4D in their right-hand compared to their left (D[r−l]) had faster left-hand speed in comparison to right-hand speed. D[r−l] received attention because sexual dimorphic traits in general, and the 2D:4D ratio in particular, tend to be expressed in the “male form” more strongly on the right side of the body in men, and this pattern is reversed in females (Tanner, 1990). Consequently, Manning et al. (2000a) suggested that low D[r−l] and low 2D:4D were indicators of high prenatal testosterone. There are substantial ethnic differences in mean 2D:4D. Afro-Caribbean Jamaicans have very low mean 2D:4D ratios while many white Caucasian populations have high mean 2D:4D ratios (Manning et al., 2000a). The association between hand preference and prenatal testosterone may not hold across the range of possible 2D:4D ratios. The aim of the present study was to determine whether digit ratio is related to the degree of hand skill in right-handed children by confirming and extending the results of Manning et al. (2000a) in a white Caucasian Austrian population. In this regard, the studies of Tan (1990a,b, 1991a,b, 1992) suggest a very careful examination of left- and right-hand skills in children and adults. In particular, Tan (1990a,b) found that only the right-hand skill showed a direct correlation and an inverse correlation with serum testosterone for men and women. Therefore we decided to put the focus on right-hand skill and the associations with digit ratio in the present study. We hypothesized that for right-handed children a low 2D:4D would be positively related to the degree of left-hand skill, whereas a high 2D:4D would be more common among individuals with enhanced right-hand skill.
2. Method

Our total sample was 93 white Caucasian children (45 boys and 48 girls) between the ages of 6–11 years recruited from an elementary school in Lower Austria (Austria). Parental permission was obtained for the testing and the methodology was agreed by the local ethical committee. Hand performance was assessed with the “Hand Dominance Test” (HDT) Steingrüber and Lienert, 1976). This test comprises three dexterity tasks, each to be performed with maximal speed and precision over 15 s, separately for the right and left hand (tracing lines, dotting circles, and dotting squares). In this regard, dominance refers to the performance advantage of one hand relative to the other. It is scored for each task according to the following formula:

$$D = \frac{\text{right hand performance} - \text{left hand performance}}{\text{right hand performance} + \text{left hand performance}} \times 100$$

the ratio ($D$) reflects the relative amount of the advantage of the dominant hand of the summary score. It results in scores from $-100$ (extreme left-handedness) to $+100$ (extreme right-handedness). These values are subsumed then and make up the summary score of the hand dominance inventory.

For the measurements of finger lengths photocopies were made of the ventral surface of the hand. In contrast to soft-tissue measurements taken directly from the hands, this method photocopying has advantages in terms of accuracy and practicability especially in children which normally show a high level of activity. Several studies have shown that measurements from photocopies produce similar results compared with other methods (e.g., soft-tissue measurements, X-rays; see Manning, 2002). The participants were asked to place their hands palm down on the centre of the glass plate of the photocopier and one photocopy per hand was made. Care was taken to ensure that details of major creases could be seen on the hands. When quality was poor a second photocopy was made. We measured the lengths of the second and fourth digits of the left and right hands from the ventral proximal crease of the digit to the finger tip from the photocopies. Where there was a band of creases at the base of the digit, we measured from the most proximal of these. The measurements were made twice with the second measurement made blind to the first. All measurements were made with a digital Vernier calliper (Preisser Products, Germany) measuring to 0.01 mm. Children who reported injuries to the second or fourth digits were discarded from the analyses.

We used repeated measures ANOVA to calculate the ratio ($F$) between measurement error (the differences between successive measures of 2D-4D) and between participant differences. We found that between individual differences were significantly greater than measurement error in 2D-4D (right-hand $F(32, 33) = 5.67, P < 0.001$; left-hand $F(32, 33) = 4.93, P < 0.001$). We concluded that our calculated values of 2D-4D reflected real differences between individuals.

We used unpaired t-tests for determining possible group-differences in 2D-4D. A one-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test revealed that not all variables were normally distributed (i.e., tracing lines was not). We therefore used two-tailed Spearman correlation coefficients (rho) for assessing the relationship between 2D-4D and hand preference scores.
Table 1  
Spearman correlation coefficients (rho) for the relationship of hand performance scores with 2D:4D right-hand and 2D:4D left-hand  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Boys and girls (n = 79)</th>
<th>Boys (n = 38)</th>
<th>Girls (n = 41)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2D:4D right-hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.248*</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore tracing lines</td>
<td>0.141</td>
<td>−0.012</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore dotting circles</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore dotting squares</td>
<td>0.233*</td>
<td>−0.036</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D:4D left-hand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>0.431**</td>
<td>0.366*</td>
<td>0.358*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore tracing lines</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>−0.108</td>
<td>0.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore dotting circles</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscore dotting squares</td>
<td>0.404*</td>
<td>0.382*</td>
<td>0.347*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P < 0.05, two-tailed.  
** P < 0.01. 

3. Results 

According to the results of the hand dominance inventory 79 children from the original sample of N = 93 boys and girls were classified right-handers (i.e., those who had a summary score of > 0). These were 38 boys (mean age = 8.96, S.D. = 1.38) and 41 girls (mean age = 8.52, S.D. = 1.16). Only those children were included in the subsequent analyses. 

In accordance with previous reports (Manning et al., 2000a,b; Manning, 2002), 2D:4D ratio in boys was significantly lower for both hands than the girls’ ratio (unpaired t-tests, 2D:4D right-hand: boys x = 0.95 ± 0.03, girls x = 0.98 ± 0.03, t(77) = −3.677, P < 0.01; 2D:4D left-hand, boys x = 0.95 ± 0.03, girls x = 0.97 ± 0.03, t(77) = −3.483, P < 0.05). 

There was a significant sex difference for the hand dominance summary score, with greater right-hand dominance in girls compared to boys (boys: x = 35.78 ± 23.90, girls: x = 52.72 ± 32.41, t(77) = −2.705, P < 0.05), the subscore for tracing lines (boys: x = 4.05 ± 12.51, girls: x = 9.75 ± 12.46, t(77) = −2.025, P < 0.05), and the subscore for dotting squares (boys: x = 10.42 ± 14.97, girls: x = 20.73 ± 18.20, t(77) = −2.738, P < 0.05). No sex difference was found for the dotting circles subscore. 

Table 1 shows the correlations between hand dominance and performance scores and right and left-hand 2D:4D for the total sample and for boys and girls separately. Basically, hand performance scores were found to be positively correlated with high 2D:4D, i.e., low prenatal testosterone was associated with better right-hand performance, whereas low 2D:4D (higher prenatal testosterone) was associated with increased left-hand performance. 

The associations were found to be stronger for left-hand digit ratio. In girls, left-hand 2D:4D was significantly positively correlated with the total hand performance score (see Fig. 1a), and also with the subscore for dotting squares. Girl’s right-hand 2D:4D was also positively associated with hand performance but was found non-significant for the total score and the subscores. The associations between hand performance scores and left-hand 2D:4D in boys (see Fig. 1b) were found to be significantly positively associated with the total hand.
Fig. 1. Scatterplot of left and right-hand 2D:4D digit length ratios and hand dominance total scores in girls (a) and boys (b). Higher scores for hand dominance indicate better right-hand performance relative to left-hand performance.
performance score and with the subscore for dotting squares. No significant correlations were found for right-hand 2D:4D in boys. Also, no significant associations were found for the difference between right and left-hand digit ratio (D(r−l)) and hand performance (total and subscores) as suggested in previous studies (Manning et al., 2000a; Manning, 2002).

Since digit ratio and also hand performance scores (except the subscore for dotting circles) were found to be significantly different between boys and girls we were interested in the associations between left and right-hand 2D:4D and hand skill when sex was controlled. Partial correlations ($r_p$) between 2D:4D ratio and test scores showed that, in general, digit ratio was positively associated with hand performance but the strongest effects were found for left-hand 2D:4D and the total hand performance score ($r_p = 0.332, P < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}$) and for left-hand 2D:4D and the subscore for dotting squares ($r_p = 0.318, P < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}$).

Finally we analysed whether age had a significant effect on (total) hand performance scores. This was only the case for the subscore tracing lines for girls ($\rho = 0.382, P < 0.05, \text{two-tailed}$). However, left-hand 2D:4D was found to be significantly related to age in boys ($\rho = −0.477, P < 0.05$). Partial correlations with age held constant revealed that the associations between total hand performance scores and 2D:4D ratio remained significant for the total sample and for left-hand 2D:4D in boys (total sample: right-hand, $r_p = 0.248, P < 0.05$; left-hand, $r_p = 0.433, P < 0.01$; boys: right-hand $r_p = 0.129, \text{n.s.}$; left-hand, $r_p = 0.457, P < 0.05$). In addition, left-hand 2D:4D in boys was also found to be significantly correlated with the subscore for dotting squares ($r_p = 0.533, P < 0.01$). Girls 2D:4D ratio was positively associated with hand performance scores but remained non-significant after controlling for age.

4. Discussion

The results of this study provide further evidence that 2D:4D is a sexually dimorphic trait in right-handed children in addition to adults, with boys having a significantly lower ratio than girls.

With regard to associations between 2D:4D and hand skill, we found all correlation coefficients between test scores and left and right 2D:4D to be positive and significant for right-handed subjects of the total sample. This included all three test subscales (tracing lines, dotting circles, and dotting squares) and the total score. This finding provides some support to our prediction concerning a positive association between 2D:4D and hand performance. Considering the whole sample, as predicted there were significant positive associations between right and left 2D:4D and test scores which included the dotting squares subscore and total test score. However, this effect was not equal between left and right 2D:4D. We found left-hand 2D:4D to be significantly positively correlated with the total hand dominance scores and the subscore for dotting squares for the total sample and boys and girls separately, but for right-hand 2D:4D in the total sample only.

Similar results were found when sex was controlled, i.e. the association between hand dominance scores and 2D:4D ratio remained significant for the left-hand in the way that low 2D:4D ratio was associated with lower degree of right-handedness. With respect to the effects of in utero levels of testosterone we may speculate that high levels during early
development influence cerebral development in both, girls and boys, such that they slow growth of certain regions of the left hemisphere, leading to increased left-hand skill. We found some indication that age is correlated with 2D:4D but this was only significant for the left-hand in boys and girls as well as in the total sample. Manning et al. (1998) have found no association between age and 2D:4D in a large sample aged 2–25 years. In our present data the overall sample showed a negative association between 2D:4D and age, while Williams et al. (2003) reported a weak but significant positive correlation between age and 2D:4D. More data are required to clarify the situation. However, at present it appears that relationships between age and 2D:4D are either weak or non-existent. Overall our data provide some support for the reported associations in children between low 2D:4D and faster left-hand performance as compared to right (Manning et al., 2000a). Our finding was for Caucasian Austrian children and those of Manning et al. (2000a) were for rural Afro-Carribean Jamaican children. As expected the former had higher mean 2D:4D ratios than the latter (Males: right-hand, Austria 0.95 ± 0.03, Jamaica 0.93 ± 0.04, left-hand, Austria 0.95 ± 0.03, Jamaica 0.93 ± 0.04; Females: right-hand, Austria 0.98 ± 0.03, Jamaica 0.94 ± 0.03, left-hand, Austria 0.97 ± 0.03, Jamaica 0.94 ± 0.04). An association between low 2D:4D and high left-hand performance scores may therefore be found across geographically and ethnically widespread populations with a range of mean 2D:4D ratios. However, there were some differences in our findings and those of the Jamaican study. In the latter significant effects were restricted to the right-hand and were strongest in boys. Our data indicate stronger effects in the left-hand of girls. These differences may of course be due to sampling effects and more data may indicate significant associations between right and left 2D:4D and handedness in both boys and girls. However, there is the possibility of both sex and lateralization effects here. Manning (2002) has reviewed evidence that sexually dimorphic traits tend to take the male form of the trait more intensely on the right side of the body and the female form of the trait on the left. There is some support for this tendency in 2D:4D, with a number of studies showing lower 2D:4D in the right-hand. In addition low D[r−l] has been found to be predictive of left-handedness and some other traits that are more intensely expressed in males such as high waist-to-hip ratio and fast running speed (Manning, 2002).

We have found that a proxy for high prenatal testosterone, i.e. low values of 2D:4D, is associated with enhanced left-hand performance. This is supportive of the GBG theory (Geschwind and Behan, 1982; Geschwind and Galaburda, 1987) and its later modifications in that prenatal testosterone appears to compromise the development of the right-hemisphere leading to improved left-hand performance. This conclusion is also supported by the finding among Jamaican children that higher left-hand relative to right-hand speed in the performance of a peg test was related to low 2D:4D values (Manning et al., 2000a). In summary it appears that low 2D:4D is a correlate of fast left-hand performance relative to right, and that this tendency may be found across ethnic groups with different mean 2D:4D ratios. However, more data is required before we can say whether this effect is most strongly developed in one sex than the other or in one hand than the other. Future studies should consider the investigation of associations in consistent left-handed subjects to possibly confirm the effect of early testosterone in terms of the theory of cerebral lateralization (Geschwind and Behan, 1982).
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